1 2 3 4 5 6	Frank E. Scherkenbach (SBN 142549 / scherkenb Adam J. Kessel (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> / kessel@ Proshanto Mukherji (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> / muk Jeffrey Shneidman (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> / shnei FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. One Marina Park Drive Boston, MA 02210 Telephone: (617) 542-5070 Facsimile: (617) 542-8906	fr.com) herji@fr.com)	
7	Michael R. Headley (SBN 220834 / headley@fr.c FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.	om)	
8	500 Arguello Street, Suite 500		
9	Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone: (650) 839-5070		
10	Facsimile: (650) 839-5071		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs BYTEDANCE INC., TIKTOK INC., and TIKTOK PTE. LTD.		
12			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	OAKLAND DIVISION		
16		Case No. 4:20-cv-07572-JSW	
17	BYTEDANCE INC., TIKTOK INC., AND TIKTOK PTE. LTD.,	PLAINTIFFS' [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS,	
18   19	Plaintiffs,	OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO STAY FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF OF	
20	V.	PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
21	TRILLER, INC.,		
22	Defendant.		
23	Defendant.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		[PROPOSED] ORDER RE OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOT TO DISMISS	

Case No 4:20-cv-07572-JSW

## Case 4:20-cv-07572-JSW Document 44 Filed 03/30/21 Page 2 of 2

1	This matter comes before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, or in the	
2	Alternative, to Stay First Claim for Relief of Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 33,	
3	"the Motion"). The Court finds that a limited stay of Plaintiffs' declaratory judgment claim	
4	(Plaintiffs' First Claim for Relief) is more efficient and appropriate than dismissal of that claim.	
5	See, e.g., Google Inc. v. Eolas Techs., Inc., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 78842, at *24 (N.D. Cal. June	
6	16, 2016).	
7	Finding good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to	
8	Dismiss is <b>DENIED</b> , and Plaintiffs' claim for Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of U.S.	
9	Patent No. 9,691,429 (Plaintiffs' First Claim for Relief) is <b>STAYED</b> until resolution of the 28	
10	U.S.C. § 1404(a) transfer issues raised in the Motion to Transfer filed in <i>Triller, Inc. v. Bytedance</i>	
11	Ltd. et al., No. 6:20-cv-693 (W.D. Tex. Nov. 13, 2020), Dkt. No. 30 in that case.	
12	The parties shall file a notice with this Court of that decision within 7 days of its issuance.	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
14		
15	Dated: March 30, 2021	
16	the letter's White	
17	United States District Court	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

28